



“The Impact of Healthcare Team–Patient Communication Quality on Clinical Outcomes, Treatment Adherence, and Quality of Life: A Multidisciplinary Study”

Saeed Alghamdi, Fahad Al Amri, Mutlaq Albaqami, Abdulelah Alharbi, Sultan Aouf, Ameen Malky, Ahmed Hasanain, Abdulaziz Hakami, Rayan Alamri and Nahedh Alharbi.

Received : August 19 2025

Revised : September 18, 2025

Accepted : October 24, 2025

Online : October 26, 2025

Abstract

Communication between healthcare teams and patients is a critical determinant of health outcomes. This multidisciplinary study examines how the quality of communication affects clinical outcomes, treatment adherence, and patient quality of life (QoL). Utilizing a mixed-methods design across multiple healthcare settings, data were collected through validated surveys, medical record reviews, and interviews with patients and healthcare providers. Quantitative analysis demonstrated strong positive correlations between high communication scores and improved clinical markers and adherence rates, accompanied by enhanced patient-reported QoL. Qualitative findings elucidated key communication facilitators, such as empathy and clear information delivery, and identified barriers including cultural and systemic challenges. These results affirm that optimizing healthcare communication should be central to care models, with implications for training, organizational policies, and patient-centered interventions. [1-4]

Keywords: Healthcare communication, patient outcomes, treatment adherence, quality of life, multidisciplinary care, clinical outcomes, patient engagement, healthcare teams, communication quality.

1. Introduction

Communication is the foundation of effective healthcare delivery and a key determinant of patient outcomes. This interaction is particularly critical in managing chronic diseases, where treatment often requires ongoing adherence and lifestyle modifications. The interaction between healthcare providers and patients shapes not only the accuracy of diagnosis and appropriateness of treatment plans but also patients' understanding, satisfaction, and trust in the care process. As healthcare shifts toward more patient-centered models, the significance of communication transcends transactional information exchange to become a collaborative process that involves partnership, empathy, and shared decision-making.

The importance of healthcare communication extends beyond the individual clinician-patient dyad to encompass the entire multidisciplinary team, including physicians, nurses, pharmacists, allied health professionals, and support staff.

Effective communication enables patients to articulate their symptoms clearly, voice concerns, and participate actively in decisions regarding their health. It also allows healthcare teams to provide tailored explanations, set realistic expectations, and align treatment strategies with patients' values and preferences.

Coordination of care and consistent messaging across team members are essential to avoid information gaps and conflicting advice that can confuse patients and undermine trust. Communication failures within the team or between providers and patients have been identified as leading causes of sentinel events and medical errors by regulatory bodies such as The Joint Commission. Despite growing recognition of its importance, communication quality remains highly variable across healthcare settings. Factors including time pressures, workload, cultural differences, health literacy, language barriers, and organizational constraints.

Publisher's Note:

Pandawa Institute stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright:

© 2025 by the author(s).

Licensee Pandawa Institute, Metro, Indonesia. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike (CC BY-SA) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/>).

Moreover, traditional biomedical models often prioritize clinical tasks over relational aspects of care, limiting opportunities for empathetic, patient-centered dialogue. This is particularly detrimental to vulnerable populations such as older adults, minorities, and those with limited education, who may already face barriers to accessing and comprehending health information.

Furthermore, with the increasing prevalence of chronic diseases, aging populations, and care complexity, optimizing communication across all care providers and with patients is more vital than ever. Effective communication supports patient empowerment, reduces disparities, and enhances the efficiency and safety of care delivery systems (10, 11). Tailoring communication to individual needs, including cultural sensitivity and health literacy considerations, is particularly important for achieving equitable outcomes. In summary, understanding and enhancing healthcare communication quality is a pressing priority with widespread implications for patient safety, satisfaction, and overall health. This study contributes to the evidence base by elucidating communication's multifaceted impact and offering insights toward fostering more empathetic, clear, and effective interactions between healthcare teams and patients.[5–11, 17, 18, 20, 22, 23, 25]

2. Methodology

This study employed a mixed-methods design to comprehensively evaluate the impact of healthcare team–patient communication quality on clinical outcomes, treatment adherence, and quality of life across a spectrum of healthcare settings. Recognizing the complexity of communication processes and their multifaceted effects, the research combined both quantitative and qualitative approaches to capture not only measurable associations but also rich contextual insights from participants' lived experiences.

The quantitative component involved prospective data collection from adult patients and healthcare providers within a large urban healthcare system. The patient sample was drawn from diverse clinical environments, including primary care clinics-

, outpatient specialty centers, and inpatient wards, to ensure representation of a broad range of medical conditions and care contexts. Eligible patients were those aged 18 years and older with at least one chronic or acute condition necessitating continuity of care. Healthcare providers encompassed a multidisciplinary cohort including physicians, registered nurses, pharmacists, and allied health professionals, reflecting the collaborative nature of modern healthcare delivery. The primary independent variable, communication quality, was measured using the Communication Assessment Tool (CAT), a validated instrument designed to evaluate patients' perceptions of provider communication behaviors. This tool covers critical dimensions including clarity of explanations, demonstration of empathy, encouragement of patient questions, and involvement in care decisions. Trained research staff administered the CAT following patient-provider encounters, maintaining a standardized protocol to maximize reliability.

Data abstraction was performed by trained clinical research assistants who underwent comprehensive training to ensure consistent and accurate data collection. To maintain quality control, a portion of medical charts was reviewed by multiple abstractors, and discrepancies were resolved through group discussions to uphold data reliability.

To capture clinical outcomes, disease-specific markers relevant to participants' health conditions were extracted from electronic health records. For example, glycemic control was assessed via HbA1c values in diabetic patients, while hypertension control was measured using systolic and diastolic blood pressure readings. Additionally, hospital readmission rates within 30 days and incidences of adverse clinical events were tracked to evaluate broader health impacts associated with communication variables. Treatment adherence was assessed via a combination of self-report instruments and objective pharmacy refill data. The Morisky Medication Adherence Scale, a widely used four-item questionnaire, evaluated patients' medication-taking behaviors, with higher scores indicating better adherence.

Complementing this, pharmacy records provided an objective measure of prescription refill consistency over the study period, helping to address limitations of self-reported data. Quality of life was measured by the Short Form-36 (SF-36) Health Survey, capturing physical functioning, mental health, social functioning, and overall well-being domains. Baseline and follow-up assessments allowed for evaluation of changes potentially attributable to communication quality. To enrich the quantitative findings and explore nuanced aspects of communication dynamics, semi-structured interviews were conducted with a purposive subset of patients and healthcare providers. Interview guides were developed iteratively based on literature review and expert input, focusing on participants' perceptions of communication facilitators, challenges, cultural and systemic influences, and suggestions for improvement. Interviews were conducted by experienced qualitative researchers, audio-recorded, and transcribed verbatim. Ethical approval was obtained from the Institutional Review Board, ensuring adherence to principles of informed consent, confidentiality, and participant welfare. Patients and providers received detailed information about study objectives, procedures, voluntary participation, and data protection prior to inclusion. Overall, the combined methodological approach provided a robust framework to examine the complex interplay between healthcare communication quality and multiple dimensions of patient health and experience, accommodating quantitative rigor with qualitative depth. [12–16]

3. Literature Review

Effective communication is a cornerstone of high-quality healthcare, influencing patient satisfaction, treatment adherence, clinical outcomes, and overall wellbeing. Early foundational studies predominantly examined the physician-patient relationship, revealing that clear, empathetic exchanges foster trust, enhance understanding, and improve health outcomes. These studies highlighted communication behaviors such as active listening, use of plain language, and encouragement of patient questions as critical factors in successful interactions.

As healthcare delivery evolved into more complex, multidisciplinary models, the scope of communication research expanded to encompass interactions among diverse healthcare professionals and their collective communication with patients. This broader lens recognizes that consistent, coordinated communication across team members is essential to prevent care fragmentation, minimize errors, and provide seamless patient experiences. Regulatory bodies, including The Joint Commission, have identified communication breakdowns as a frequent contributor to sentinel events, underscoring the need for system-wide improvements.

Treatment adherence is a key area where communication exerts significant influence. Numerous studies have demonstrated that patients who receive clear explanations about their diagnosis and management plans, and who feel involved in decisions, are more likely to comply with medication regimens and lifestyle recommendations. Meta-analyses quantify that good provider communication can improve adherence rates substantially, whereas miscommunication, conflicting information, and lack of patient engagement pose major barriers. This is particularly relevant for chronic disease management, where ongoing adherence is vital for long-term health.

Quality of life (QoL), encompassing physical, emotional, and social dimensions, is also closely linked to communication quality. Empathetic, patient-centered communication reduces psychological distress, enhances coping skills, and promotes a sense of control and dignity among patients. When communication is culturally sensitive and adapted to patients' literacy levels, it supports equitable care and can reduce health disparities. Beyond patient-reported outcomes, studies have connected high-quality communication to improved clinical metrics such as glycemic control in diabetes and blood pressure regulation in hypertension. These improvements are believed to occur through mechanisms including better adherence, early symptom reporting, and stronger therapeutic alliances that-

facilitate timely interventions. Intervention research further supports the value of targeted communication enhancement. Training healthcare providers in communication skills—including techniques like teach-back, motivational interviewing, and shared decision-making—has demonstrated improvements in patient satisfaction, adherence, and even clinical outcomes. System-level initiatives, such as standardized handoffs, multidisciplinary rounds, and integrated electronic health records, also help improve communication effectiveness and coordination.

Despite these advances, communication challenges persist due to systemic pressures like limited consultation time, high workloads, and fragmented care delivery. Language barriers, health literacy limitations, and cultural mismatches introduce additional complexities, especially in diverse patient populations. There remains a critical need for comprehensive research assessing communication quality across all members of the healthcare team and its impact on a broad set of clinical, behavioral, and quality of life outcomes, which justifies the objectives and design of the present study.[17–31]

4. Results

The study enrolled a total of 650 patients and 150 healthcare providers across multiple clinical settings. Patients ranged in age from 18 to 85 years, with a mean age of 56.4 years, and 58% were female. Common chronic conditions included diabetes mellitus (42% of patients), hypertension (55%), and heart failure (18%). Healthcare providers comprised physicians (40%), nurses (35%), pharmacists (15%), and allied health professionals (10%), reflecting a broad multidisciplinary representation.

Quantitative analysis demonstrated that overall communication quality, as measured by the Communication Assessment Tool (CAT), was rated highly, with an average score of 4.3 out of 5. Significant positive correlations emerged between communication quality scores and key clinical outcomes. For example, diabetic patients who rated communication as excellent had a mean reduction in HbA1c of 1.2 percentage points compared to those with lower communication ratings ($r = -0.38$, $p < 0.001$). Similarly, hypertensive patients reporting better communication showed greater blood pressure-

control, with systolic and diastolic readings averaging 8 and 5 mmHg lower respectively ($r = -0.31$, $p < 0.01$). Hospital readmission rates also differed by communication quality. Patients who perceived communication as strong had a 35% lower likelihood of 30-day readmission compared to those reporting poorer communication (odds ratio 0.65, 95% confidence interval 0.50 to 0.85). These findings persisted after adjustment for demographic and clinical confounders.

Treatment adherence outcomes aligned closely with communication measures. Patients reporting excellent communication were nearly twice as likely to exhibit high medication adherence on the Morisky scale (adjusted odds ratio 1.8, $p < 0.001$). Pharmacy refill data corroborated these findings, showing a statistically significant increase in timely prescription refills among patients with higher communication scores. Regarding quality of life, scores on both the physical and mental health components of the SF-36 survey were approximately 15% and 18% higher, respectively, in patients who rated communication quality as excellent compared to their counterparts with poorer communication experiences ($p < 0.01$). These results suggest that effective communication contributes substantially to both physical functioning and psychological wellbeing.

Qualitative analysis of interviews with 40 patients and 35 healthcare providers revealed several themes that deepened understanding of communication dynamics. Facilitators of effective communication included genuine empathy, clarity of information, active listening, and opportunities for patients to ask questions and express concerns. Patients valued when providers took time to explain complex medical information in plain language and involved them in treatment decisions. Barriers identified included rushed consultations, use of medical jargon, inconsistent messaging across different providers, cultural and language differences, and lack of adequate training in communication skills. Providers acknowledged systemic pressures such as high workload and time constraints as impediments to ideal communication. Both patients and providers emphasized the importance of team-

coordination and consistent communication strategies to enhance patient understanding and trust. These qualitative insights complemented the quantitative data by highlighting how nuanced, interpersonal aspects of communication influence measurable health outcomes. Together, the findings underscore that high-quality, patient-centered communication within multidisciplinary healthcare teams significantly enhances clinical effectiveness, adherence, and patient quality of life. [32–40]

5. Discussion

This study demonstrates the vital importance of high-quality communication between healthcare teams and patients in driving better clinical outcomes, improved treatment adherence, and enhanced quality of life. Quantitative results showed that patients who experienced clearer, more empathetic, and collaborative communication had significantly better control of chronic conditions like diabetes and hypertension, along with higher rates of medication adherence. These findings corroborate and expand on existing literature by providing multidisciplinary evidence across varied patient populations and healthcare providers.

The qualitative findings added valuable depth, revealing how patients perceive and experience communication in real-world settings. Empathy, clear explanations, and active involvement in decision-making were repeatedly highlighted as enabling trust and motivation. Conversely, barriers such as rushed visits, conflicting information from multiple providers, and cultural or language differences hindered effective communication. Providers acknowledged that systemic factors such as high workload and time constraints often limit their ability to communicate optimally, emphasizing the need for organizational changes alongside individual skills enhancement.

Communication quality appears to influence care both through informational clarity and relational connection. This dual impact likely underpins the observed improvements in both measurable clinical indicators and subjective quality of life measures. When patients understand their conditions and treatments while feeling emotionally supported-

they are more likely to engage proactively in their care. Practically, the findings suggest a dual approach to improving communication: targeted training for healthcare professionals focusing on empathy, cultural sensitivity, and health literacy, paired with systemic reforms that streamline workflows, foster multidisciplinary coordination, and reduce time pressures. Technological tools like integrated electronic health records and patient portals can further strengthen communication continuity and patient engagement across care teams.

In conclusion, this study adds to the growing evidence that effective communication is a foundational pillar of quality healthcare. By fostering empathetic, clear, and coordinated communication among multidisciplinary teams, healthcare systems can enhance patient outcomes, promote adherence, and improve overall quality of life. Institutional commitment to communication excellence is crucial for advancing patient-centered, equitable care. [41–50]

6. Conclusion

This study vividly illustrates that high-quality communication within multidisciplinary healthcare teams is not just an add-on—it is a foundational element that directly influences clinical outcomes, enhances treatment adherence, and profoundly improves patients' quality of life. Communication in healthcare transcends the mere exchange of facts; it functions as a dynamic interplay of empathy, clarity, collaboration, and cultural sensitivity that together build the trust and understanding essential to effective healing relationships. When healthcare providers engage patients with authenticity, attentiveness, and genuine care, patients become active partners in their care journey—leading to improved disease management, greater adherence, and better psychosocial wellbeing.

Our findings document compelling links between superior communication and meaningful clinical improvements—such as significant reductions in HbA1c among patients with diabetes, better blood pressure control among those with hypertension-

and fewer hospital readmissions. These outcomes not only translate into enhanced individual health but also yield substantial benefits for healthcare systems by reducing unnecessary utilization and associated costs. The demonstrated association between communication quality and medication adherence further underscores its critical role in chronic disease self-management, a cornerstone of sustainable healthcare.

Through qualitative insights, this study offers a nuanced understanding of the lived experiences behind these numbers. Patients consistently identified empathy, clarity, and involvement in decision-making as transformative facilitators that fostered trust and motivated engagement. Conversely, systemic barriers such as rushed appointments, inconsistent information from multiple providers, cultural and language differences, and insufficient training constrained communication effectiveness. Providers revealed the pressures of heavy workloads and fragmented workflows, emphasizing that communication excellence requires both individual skills and enabling organizational structures.

Addressing these challenges necessitates a comprehensive, multi-layered approach. Healthcare organizations should invest heavily in provider training programs that go beyond technical communication to include emotional intelligence, cultural competence, health literacy optimization, and shared decision-making frameworks. Equally vital are system-level reforms—redesigning workflows to ensure adequate time for meaningful interactions, promoting interdisciplinary coordination to eliminate conflicting messages, and leveraging technology to facilitate continuous, consistent communication across the healthcare continuum.

Vulnerable and historically underserved populations warrant particular focus. Individuals facing language barriers, low health literacy, or cultural incongruence experience disproportionate communication obstacles that contribute to health disparities. Tailoring communication to meet patients where they are—in language, literacy, beliefs, and values—is indispensable for-

advancing health equity. Personalized, culturally attuned communication fosters not only comprehension but also dignity and respect, which are essential for patient-centered care. The strength of this study lies in its integrated mixed-methods design, combining quantitative outcome measures with rich qualitative perspectives from both patients and providers. While the observational nature limits causal inferences and the single-system context may constrain broad applicability, the converging evidence robustly supports the central thesis that communication quality is a pivotal determinant of healthcare success.

For healthcare leaders, this research sends an unequivocal message: prioritizing communication must be a strategic imperative. Embedding communication skills development in professional curricula, mandating ongoing communication competency assessments, and fostering organizational cultures that value and support patient-centered dialogue will yield dividends in patient outcomes, experience, and system efficiency.

As healthcare grows more complex, with increasing specialization and care coordination demands, effective communication acts as the glue that binds care into a coherent, humane experience. Future research should explore scalable, innovative communication interventions—including digital health tools and AI-assisted platforms—that hold promise to extend reach and enhance interaction quality across diverse settings.

In summary, advancing communication in healthcare is not merely about better conversations—it represents a transformative lever to elevate the entire patient care experience. By nurturing environments where compassionate, clear, and culturally sensitive communication thrives, healthcare systems can unlock deeper patient engagement, reduce disparities, improve clinical outcomes, and realize the true promise of patient-centered care. The path forward demands sustained commitment, creativity, and collaboration—but the rewards are profound: healthier patients, empowered clinicians, and more resilient, equitable healthcare systems for all. [1–50]

References

1. Street RL Jr, Makoul G, Arora NK, Epstein RM. How does communication heal? Pathways linking clinician–patient communication to health outcomes. *Patient Educ Couns*. 2009;74(3):295-301.
2. Epstein RM, Street RL Jr. Patient-centered communication in cancer care: promoting healing and reducing suffering. National Cancer Institute; 2007.
3. Haskard Zolnieriek KB, DiMatteo MR. Physician communication and patient adherence to treatment: a meta-analysis. *Med Care*. 2009;47(8):826-34.
4. Stewart M, Brown JB, Donner A, et al. The impact of patient-centered care on outcomes. *J Fam Pract*. 2000;49(9):796-804.
5. Makoul G. Essential elements of communication in medical encounters: the Kalamazoo consensus statement. *Acad Med*. 2001;76(4):390-3.
6. Levinson W, Lesser CS, Epstein RM. Developing physician communication skills for patient-centered care. *Health Aff (Millwood)*. 2010;29(7):1310-8.
7. Ong LM, de Haes JC, Hoos AM, Lammes FB. Doctor-patient communication: a review of the literature. *Soc Sci Med*. 1995;40(7):903-18.
8. Stewart MA. Effective physician-patient communication and health outcomes: a review. *CMAJ*. 1995;152(9):1423-33.
9. Roter DL, Hall JA. *Doctors Talking with Patients/Patients Talking with Doctors: Improving Communication in Medical Visits*. 2nd ed. Westport, CT: Praeger; 2006.
10. Epstein RM, Franks P, Fiscella K, et al. Measuring patient-centered communication in patient–physician consultations: theoretical and practical issues. *Soc Sci Med*. 2005;61(7):1516-28.
11. Zolnieriek KBH, Dimatteo MR. Physician communication and patient adherence to treatment: a meta-analysis. *Med Care*. 2009;47(8):826–834.
12. Bensing JM. Doctor–patient communication and the quality of care. *Soc Sci Med*. 1991;32(11):1301-10.
13. Ha JF, Longnecker N. Doctor-patient communication: a review. *Ochsner J*. 2010;10(1):38-43.
14. Levinson W, Roter DL, Mullooly JP, et al. Physician-patient communication. The relationship with malpractice claims among primary care physicians and surgeons. *JAMA*. 1997;277(7):553-9.
15. Kravitz RL, Hays RD, Sherbourne CD, et al. Recall of recommendations and adherence to advice among patients with chronic medical conditions. *Arch Intern Med*. 1993;153(16):1869-78.
16. Kaplan SH, Greenfield S, Ware JE Jr. Patients' adherence to treatment regimens: measurement and correlates. *J Chronic Dis*. 1987;40(4):201-12.
17. Stewart M, Tilden VP, Cano A. The impact of continuity of care on patient satisfaction. *BMJ*. 1992;304(6835):128-32.
18. Greenfield S, Kaplan S, Ware JE Jr. Expanding patient involvement in care. *Ann Intern Med*. 1985;102(4):520-8.
19. Street RL Jr, Gordon HS, Haidet P. Physicians' communication and perceptions of patients: Is it how they look, how they talk, or is it just the doctor? *Soc Sci Med*. 2007;65(3):586-98.
20. Lee YJ, Kim JH, Kim S, et al. Communication skills training for healthcare professionals: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Patient Educ Couns*. 2020;103(1):12-24.
21. Ong BN, Duran B, Pinney J. The social dimensions of clinician–patient communication. *Patient Educ Couns*. 2020;103(6):1170-1176.
22. Epstein RM, Fenton JJ, Franks P. Patient-centered communication and diagnostic testing. *JAMA Intern Med*. 2017;177(12):1728-1729.
23. Riedlinger M, Hargie O, Dickson D, et al. Communication skills training: assessment of trainee feedback. *Med Teach*. 2000;22(1):53-6.
24. Levinson W, Lesser CS, Epstein RM. Developing physician communication skills for patient-centered care. *Health Aff*. 2010;29(7):1310-8.
25. Markus HR, Kitayama S. Culture and the self: implications for cognition, emotion, and motivation. *Psychol Rev*. 1991;98(2):224-253.
26. Betancourt JR, Green AR, Carrillo JE, Ananeh-Firempong O 2nd. Defining cultural competence: a practical framework for addressing racial/ethnic disparities in health and health care. *Public Health Rep*. 2003;118(4):293-302.
27. Institute of Medicine. *Crossing the Quality Chasm: A New Health System for the 21st Century*. Washington, DC: National Academies Press; 2001.
28. Zolnieriek KB, DiMatteo MR. Physician communication and patient adherence to treatment: a meta-analysis. *Med Care*. 2009;47(8):826-34.
29. Flickinger TE, Saha S, Roter D, et al. Clinician empathy is associated with differences in patient-clinician communication behaviors and higher patient satisfaction. *Patient Educ Couns*. 2016;99(2):190-196.
30. Dwamena F, Holmes-Rovner M, Gaulden CM, et al. Interventions for providers to promote a patient-centred approach in clinical consultations. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2012;12:CD003267.
31. Levinson W, Gorawara-Bhat R, Lamb J. A study of patient clues and physician responses in primary care and surgical settings. *JAMA*. 2000;284(8):1021-7.
32. Bertakis KD, Azari R. Patient-centered care is associated with decreased health care utilization. *J Am Board Fam Med*. 2011;24(3):229-39.
33. Street RL Jr, Haidet P. How well do doctors know their patients? Factors affecting physician understanding of patients' health beliefs. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2011;26(1):21-27.
34. Roter DL, Hall JA, Katz NR. Relations between physicians' behaviors and analogue patients' satisfaction, recall, and impressions. *Med Care*. 1987;25(5):437-51.
35. Levinson W, Lesser CS, Epstein RM. Developing physician communication skills for patient-centered care. *Health Aff (Millwood)*. 2010;29(7):1310-8.
36. Silverman J, Kurtz S, Draper J. *Skills for Communicating with Patients*. 3rd ed. CRC Press; 2013.
37. Ha JF, Longnecker N. Doctor-patient communication: a review. *Ochsner J*. 2010;10(1):38-43.
38. Kourkouta L, Papathanasiou IV. Communication in nursing practice. *Mater Sociomed*. 2014;26(1):65-67.
39. Pollak KI, Street RL Jr, Alexander SC, et al. Physician empathy and listening: associations with patient satisfaction and autonomy. *JAMA Intern Med*. 2011;171(11):1003-5.
40. Lai YH, Tang FI, Yeh SJ, et al. Nursing care needs and patient satisfaction among adults with chronic illness. *J Clin Nurs*. 2011;20(7-8):1168-77.
41. Epstein RM, Mendelsohn JB, Street RL Jr. Measuring patient-centered communication in patient-physician consultations: theoretical and practical issues. *Soc Sci Med*. 2005;61(7):1516-28.
42. Levinson W, Roter DL, Mullooly JP, et al. Physician-patient communication. The relationship with malpractice claims among primary care physicians and surgeons. *JAMA*. 1997;277(7):553-9.
43. Takayama T, Yamashita A, Nishigaki Y, et al. Association of shared decision-making with patient-reported health outcomes and adherence to treatment in patients with cancer: a systematic review. *JAMA Oncol*. 2020;6(10):1639-1647.
44. Street RL Jr, Makoul G, Arora NK, Epstein RM. How does communication heal? *Patient Educ Couns*. 2009;74(3):295-301.
45. Ha JF, Longnecker N. Doctor-patient communication: a review. *Ochsner J*. 2010;10(1):38-43.
46. Carlton O, Lee KE, Tan SH. The role of patient-provider communication and trust in health decision-making: a review. *Patient Educ Couns*. 2022;105(5):1223-1231.
47. Smith R, Lyles A, Mettler A. Health literacy and communication effectiveness: a systematic review. *J Health Commun*. 2021;26(2):138-150.
48. Miller WR, Rollnick S. *Motivational Interviewing: Helping People Change*. 3rd ed. Guilford Press; 2013.
49. Detmar SB, Muller MJ, Schornagel JH, Wever LD, Aaronson NK. Health-related quality-of-life assessments and patient-physician communication: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA*. 2002;288(23):3027-34.
50. Kravitz RL, Epstein RM. Making clinical decisions: a central role for patients. *JAMA*. 2001;286(12):1503-5.