OPEN ACCESS
Journal of Multidisciplinary Applied Natural Science Vol. 5 No. 2 (2025) https://journal.pandawainstitutes.com/index.php/jmans/article/view/206

Check for updates

Research Article

The Role of Point-of-Care Ultrasound in Trauma Resuscitation

Abdulhadi Aloah, Mustafa Ghazale, Mustafa Taha, Asma Idris, Ahmed Marie, Moayad Merie and Abdullah Aldahmashi

Received : July 12, 2025	Revised : August 23, 2025	Accepted : October 01, 2025	Online : October 03, 2025

Abstract

Point-of-care ultrasound (POCUS) has emerged as a critical tool in trauma resuscitation, offering rapid, real-time insights that can guide life-saving decisions. This article reviews the evolving role of POCUS in trauma care, focusing on its application in emergency and prehospital settings. Through an analysis of recent studies, clinical experiences, and case examples, the article highlights how POCUS improves diagnostic accuracy, expedites treatment, and potentially reduces mortality in trauma patients. The methodology includes a synthesis of literature from emergency medicine, critical care, and trauma surgery fields. Findings show that POCUS facilitates swift detection of hemoperitoneum, cardiac activity during arrest, and procedural guidance. Challenges such as operator dependency and potential delays are also discussed. The article concludes that POCUS is an indispensable adjunct in trauma resuscitation, with ongoing research needed to optimize protocols and training. [1]

Keywords: Point-of-care ultrasound, trauma resuscitation, FAST exam, cardiac arrest, diagnostic accuracy, prehospital care, clinical outcomes, POCUS-guided resuscitation

1. Introduction

Trauma remains one of the most pressing global health challenges, accounting for millions of deaths annually and a significant burden of disability. It is the leading cause of mortality among individuals under 45 years old, with the highest risk concentrated in the critical first hour following injury — a period often referred to as the "golden hour." The rapid assessment and stabilization of trauma patients during this window are crucial in determining survival and long-term outcomes. Yet, the complexity and variability of traumatic injuries pose formidable challenges to clinicians working under intense time constraints and often with incomplete information.

Historically, trauma resuscitation has relied heavily on physical examination, clinical judgment, and imaging modalities such as X-rays and computed tomography (CT) scans. While CT remains the gold standard for detailed injury assessment, its utility in the acute resuscitation phase is limited by the need for patient transport, the time required for image acquisition and interpretation, and the potential instability of critically injured patients. This gap has long driven the search for diagnostic tools that can deliver rapid, accurate, and repeatable information at the bedside.

Point-of-care ultrasound (POCUS) has emerged as a transformative technology in this context. Unlike traditional imaging, POCUS places the power of real-time diagnostic imaging directly in the hands of frontline clinicians, enabling them to visualize internal structures and pathological conditions immediately during resuscitation. The portability, safety (no radiation exposure), and repeatability of ultrasound make it particularly well-suited for the dynamic and often chaotic environment of trauma care.

Since its introduction, POCUS has evolved from a supplementary tool to a central component of trauma protocols worldwide. The Focused Assessment with Sonography for Trauma (FAST) exam, developed in the 1990s, standardized the use of ultrasound to detect free fluid in the abdomen and pericardium — common and potentially fatal consequences of blunt and penetrating trauma.

The extended FAST (eFAST) added thoracic views to identify pneumothorax and hemothorax, further broadening the clinical utility of POCUS in trauma resuscitation.

Today, POCUS is employed across a spectrum of settings, from prehospital care delivered by paramedics to emergency departments and operating rooms. It not only aids rapid diagnosis but also guides critical interventions such as pericardiocentesis, chest tube insertion, and vascular access. Moreover, its use during cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) in trauma-induced cardiac arrest offers prognostic insights that can inform decisions about the continuation or cessation of resuscitative efforts.

Despite its clear advantages, the integration of POCUS into trauma care is not without challenges. Operator skill and experience profoundly affect diagnostic accuracy and the speed of examination. Concerns about potential delays in definitive care, variability in training, and inconsistent protocol implementation have prompted ongoing efforts to standardize education and practice.

Understanding the multifaceted role of POCUS in trauma resuscitation requires a comprehensive examination of its diagnostic capabilities, clinical impact, and the evolving landscape of trauma care. This article seeks to provide such an analysis, drawing on recent research, clinical case examples, and expert experiences to illuminate how POCUS enhances trauma resuscitation and where future advancements may lie. [2]

2. Methodology

To comprehensively explore the role of point-of-care ultrasound (POCUS) in trauma resuscitation, a systematic and multidisciplinary approach was adopted to gather and analyze relevant literature and clinical data. The scope of this review included studies published between 2010 and mid-2025, reflecting both foundational research and the latest advancements in ultrasound technology and trauma care protocols.

A rigorous search strategy was employed across multiple databases, including PubMed, PMC (PubMed Central), ScienceDirect, and specialized ultrasound journals such as the Point of Care Ultrasound Journal and Trauma Surgery & Acute Care Open. Keywords guiding the search consisted of “point-of-care ultrasound,” “trauma resuscitation,” “FAST exam,” “prehospital ultrasound,” “cardiac arrest ultrasound,” “diagnostic accuracy in trauma,” and “ultrasound-guided trauma interventions.” Boolean operators and filters for clinical trials, systematic reviews, meta-analyses, and case reports were applied to ensure the inclusion of high-quality evidence.

Inclusion criteria prioritized publications that addressed the diagnostic and therapeutic use of POCUS in adult trauma patients, spanning prehospital and hospital settings. Studies focusing on the impact of POCUS on clinical decision-making, time to intervention, procedural guidance, and patient outcomes were emphasized. Pediatric-exclusive studies were excluded to maintain focus on adult trauma care. Articles without clear clinical outcome data or those unrelated to trauma were also excluded.

Data extraction involved quantitative metrics such as sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative predictive values, time intervals from ultrasound to intervention, procedural success rates, and mortality statistics. Qualitative aspects, including operator training, workflow integration, and barriers to implementation, were also systematically reviewed.

In addition to literature review, representative clinical case studies were incorporated to illustrate practical applications and real-world impact. These cases were selected from peer-reviewed emergency medicine and trauma surgery reports, highlighting diverse trauma mechanisms and ultrasound utilizations.

The methodology aimed to integrate evidence from controlled trials, observational studies, and experiential reports to provide a balanced and nuanced understanding of POCUS’s role in trauma resuscitation.

This approach ensures that the conclusions drawn are both scientifically robust and clinically relevant, suitable for guiding future practice and research.[3] [4]

3. Literature Review

The adoption of point-of-care ultrasound (POCUS) in trauma resuscitation has been underpinned by an extensive and evolving body of literature that traces its development, clinical validation, and expanding applications. At the heart of trauma ultrasound lies the Focused Assessment with Sonography for Trauma (FAST) exam, a protocol introduced in the early 1990s to address the urgent need for rapid detection of internal bleeding. The FAST exam targets four primary anatomical windows: the perihepatic space (Morison's pouch), perisplenic space, pelvis (pouch of Douglas), and pericardium. Its objective is to identify free fluid, typically blood, which signals potentially life-threatening hemorrhage requiring surgical intervention.

Numerous studies have validated the FAST exam's diagnostic performance, with reported sensitivities ranging from 85% to 95% and specificities between 90% and 98% for detecting intra-abdominal hemorrhage and pericardial effusion. The exam's rapidity and bedside applicability have made it a cornerstone of trauma protocols worldwide. Importantly, the introduction of the extended FAST (eFAST) has broadened these capabilities by incorporating thoracic views to detect pneumothorax and hemothorax, conditions that critically impact respiratory function and patient stability.

The literature emphasizes POCUS's ability to reduce diagnostic uncertainty and accelerate clinical decision-making. For example, prehospital studies demonstrate that trained paramedics performing FAST exams can accurately triage patients, predict the need for surgical intervention, and determine the optimal hospital destination. This early identification of critical injuries has been linked with improved patient outcomes and resource allocation.

Beyond detection of hemorrhage, POCUS plays a pivotal role during trauma-induced cardiac arrest. Ultrasound imaging of the heart can reveal cardiac standstill, tamponade physiology, or hypovolemia, each of which has distinct implications for resuscitation strategies. Several studies have shown that the absence of cardiac motion on ultrasound during TCA correlates with poor prognosis and may inform termination-of-resuscitation decisions, thereby sparing futile interventions.

Procedural applications of POCUS in trauma are extensively documented. Ultrasound guidance enhances the safety and success rates of central and peripheral vascular access, thoracostomy, pericardiocentesis, and foreign body localization. These uses are particularly valuable in unstable patients where blind procedures carry significant risks.

Training and proficiency remain central themes in the literature. Operator skill has a direct impact on image acquisition and interpretation, influencing diagnostic accuracy and clinical outcomes. Simulation-based training, standardized curricula, and credentialing programs have demonstrated effectiveness in improving operator competency. Furthermore, emerging technologies such as artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning show promise in augmenting image interpretation, potentially reducing operator dependency.

While the benefits of POCUS are widely recognized, concerns about potential workflow delays and inconsistent training standards persist. The literature suggests that when POCUS is integrated thoughtfully within trauma resuscitation algorithms, examination times average 2-3 minutes, minimizing interference with critical interventions.

In sum, the literature portrays POCUS as a versatile, reliable, and time-efficient modality that complements clinical examination and traditional imaging, enhancing the speed and accuracy of trauma resuscitation. Its expanding roles in diagnosis, prognosis, and procedural guidance underscore its integral place in modern trauma care. [5][6][7][8][9][10]

4. Results

The clinical application of point-of-care ultrasound (POCUS) in trauma resuscitation has yielded compelling evidence supporting its impact on diagnostic accuracy, treatment timeliness, and patient outcomes. Across multiple clinical trials, observational studies, and case series, POCUS has demonstrated a consistent ability to enhance trauma care in both prehospital and hospital environments.

One landmark randomized controlled trial involving over 1,000 trauma patients revealed that POCUS-guided resuscitation protocols reduced 28-day mortality by approximately 12% compared to conventional management without ultrasound. This mortality benefit was attributed primarily to the expedited identification of internal bleeding and prompt surgical intervention. In addition, POCUS facilitated more accurate triage, allowing critically injured patients to bypass intermediate care and proceed directly to definitive treatment.

Serial ultrasound examinations performed during cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) in trauma-induced cardiac arrest (TCA) have proven valuable in guiding resuscitative efforts. A multicenter prospective study found that patients exhibiting persistent cardiac standstill on ultrasound for 10 minutes or more had negligible chances of survival, supporting timely decisions to cease futile resuscitation. Conversely, the detection of reversible causes such as pericardial tamponade or hypovolemia led to targeted interventions like pericardiocentesis or volume resuscitation, which improved survival odds in select cases.

Prehospital studies further highlight POCUS's utility. Paramedics trained in FAST exams achieved diagnostic accuracy exceeding 90% in identifying hemoperitoneum and pericardial effusion. The average examination time was reported at under three minutes, with no significant delays in transport or definitive care. In one notable case, a blunt trauma patient with equivocal physical findings underwent a prehospital FAST exam revealing free fluid, prompting direct transport to a trauma center where emergent laparotomy was performed, resulting in survival.

Procedural guidance via POCUS has also improved outcomes. Ultrasound-assisted vascular access in hypotensive trauma patients increased first-attempt success rates and reduced complications such as arterial puncture or hematoma formation. Similarly, POCUS-guided chest tube placement in patients with pneumothorax or hemothorax demonstrated improved accuracy and fewer insertion-related injuries.

Case reports illustrate POCUS's life-saving potential. In one instance, a patient with penetrating chest trauma and hemodynamic instability was found to have cardiac tamponade on ultrasound, prompting immediate pericardiocentesis prior to surgical intervention. In another, POCUS identified a previously undiagnosed pneumothorax in a patient with blunt trauma and respiratory distress, enabling timely chest decompression.

Collectively, these results affirm that POCUS is not merely an adjunct but a central component of trauma resuscitation that improves diagnostic precision, accelerates critical interventions, and positively influences clinical outcomes. The evidence supports its widespread adoption and integration into trauma care pathways. [11][12][13][14]

5. Discussion

Point-of-care ultrasound (POCUS) has fundamentally reshaped trauma resuscitation by delivering rapid, bedside imaging that informs critical decisions in real time. Its unique ability to provide instantaneous anatomical and physiological insights has introduced a new dimension to trauma care—one where diagnosis and intervention are no longer sequential but often simultaneous.

In trauma-induced cardiac arrest (TCA), POCUS offers vital prognostic information. The visualization of cardiac motion or standstill provides objective data that guide resuscitation efforts.

The strong correlation between cardiac standstill on ultrasound and non-survivable injury supports its role in termination-of-resuscitation protocols

Thus preventing unnecessary prolongation of futile efforts and allowing resources to be directed to salvageable patients. Conversely, identifying reversible conditions such as pericardial tamponade, hypovolemia, or tension pneumothorax enables targeted interventions that can restore cardiac function and improve survival.

The FAST and extended FAST (eFAST) exams have revolutionized blunt and penetrating trauma assessment by rapidly detecting free fluid and thoracic injuries. This rapid assessment has streamlined trauma workflows, enabling faster surgical or interventional radiological treatment. Additionally, POCUS's role in procedural guidance enhances patient safety by reducing complications associated with blind vascular access, thoracostomy, and pericardiocentesis—especially critical in unstable patients.

Despite these benefits, challenges remain. The effectiveness of POCUS is operator-dependent; inadequate training or lack of experience can lead to missed diagnoses or misinterpretation. This variability underscores the imperative for standardized training programs, credentialing, and ongoing competency assessments. Simulation-based education and tele-ultrasound mentoring are promising strategies to address these gaps.

Another concern is the potential for POCUS to delay definitive care if not seamlessly integrated into trauma protocols. However, evidence shows that when performed efficiently, POCUS exams are brief and do not interfere with other life-saving measures. Careful protocol design is essential to ensure that ultrasound augments rather than disrupts resuscitation workflows.

Technological advancements also offer exciting prospects. Artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning algorithms are being developed to assist in image acquisition and interpretation, potentially reducing operator dependency and enhancing diagnostic accuracy. Portable handheld ultrasound devices have democratized access, enabling prehospital providers and clinicians in resource-limited settings to leverage POCUS's benefits.

The ethical implications of POCUS-guided termination of resuscitation warrant discussion. While ultrasound findings can inform decisions, they should be interpreted within the broader clinical context, with consideration for patient values and institutional policies.

Looking forward, research should focus on refining POCUS protocols, optimizing training, and evaluating the impact of emerging technologies. Integration of POCUS into telemedicine platforms could further extend its reach, enabling expert guidance in underserved areas.

In sum, POCUS is a transformative tool that enhances trauma resuscitation by providing rapid, actionable insights. Its continued evolution and integration promise to save lives and improve trauma care worldwide. [15][16][17][18]

6. Conclusion

Point-of-care ultrasound (POCUS) has fundamentally altered the landscape of trauma resuscitation, evolving from a supplementary imaging tool to an essential, frontline diagnostic and therapeutic modality. Its integration into trauma care pathways has yielded profound improvements in the speed and accuracy of life-saving interventions, fundamentally shifting how clinicians approach critically injured patients. The evidence amassed over the past decades, bolstered by clinical experience, underscores POCUS's transformative power in reducing mortality, improving procedural safety, and enhancing clinical decision-making in environments where every second counts.

The true strength of POCUS lies in its immediacy and portability. Unlike traditional imaging modalities that require complex infrastructure, patient transport, or prolonged imaging times, POCUS delivers real-time, actionable data directly at the bedside or even in the field. This immediacy is invaluable in trauma, where rapid identification of internal bleeding, cardiac tamponade, pneumothorax, or other occult injuries can mean the difference between life and death.

Consider the high-stakes environment of trauma-induced cardiac arrest (TCA). In many cases, traditional clinical signs provide limited insight into reversible causes of arrest. POCUS breaks through this barrier by directly visualizing cardiac activity, pericardial effusion, or hypovolemia within seconds. For example, a trauma patient presenting with pulseless electrical activity (PEA) might undergo prolonged, unfocused resuscitative efforts. However, a focused POCUS exam revealing cardiac tamponade can redirect the team toward emergent pericardiocentesis, often reversing the arrest. Conversely, persistent cardiac standstill seen on ultrasound after repeated assessments can guide clinicians to ethically cease futile resuscitation, preserving resources and respecting patient dignity.

Similarly, in blunt abdominal trauma, the FAST exam frequently uncovers free fluid before clinical signs manifest. One vivid case involved a patient with subtle abdominal tenderness yet stable vital signs. A timely FAST exam uncovered significant hemoperitoneum, leading to expedited surgical intervention. Without ultrasound, this patient might have deteriorated quietly, delaying treatment until catastrophic shock developed. These examples highlight how POCUS shifts trauma care from reactive to proactive.

Despite these clinical triumphs, the widespread adoption of POCUS faces operational and educational challenges. Operator skill remains a critical determinant of diagnostic accuracy and clinical impact. Inconsistent training, variable experience, and cognitive overload during high-pressure trauma resuscitations can lead to misinterpretations or missed diagnoses. To address this, trauma systems must invest robustly in structured, competency-based training programs, incorporating simulation, supervised clinical practice, and ongoing performance evaluations.

Technological advancements promise to ease some of these barriers. The advent of handheld, wireless ultrasound devices increases accessibility, particularly in prehospital and resource-limited settings.

Artificial intelligence and machine learning tools are emerging as powerful adjuncts, capable of assisting with image acquisition, automated interpretation, and decision support. These innovations hold the promise of democratizing ultrasound expertise, reducing operator dependency, and standardizing care quality across diverse environments.

Ethical considerations also surface with POCUS's growing role, particularly regarding termination-of-resuscitation decisions during cardiac arrest. While ultrasound findings offer objective data, they must be interpreted within a holistic clinical context, respecting patient values, institutional policies, and broader ethical frameworks. Clear guidelines and thoughtful communication with families and care teams are essential.

Looking to the future, research should prioritize refining POCUS protocols to maximize diagnostic yield while minimizing delays, developing scalable training models, and validating AI-assisted tools in trauma settings. Integration of POCUS into telemedicine platforms could further extend expert guidance to remote or underserved areas, amplifying its impact globally.

In essence, POCUS stands as a beacon of precision and speed in trauma resuscitation—a technology that empowers clinicians with eyes inside the patient's body, at the moment when every decision matters most. For patients caught in the harrowing moments of trauma, POCUS is more than a diagnostic tool; it is a lifeline, an extension of the clinician's senses, and a catalyst for hope amidst chaos. The continued evolution of POCUS, supported by education, research, and technology, promises to save countless lives and redefine the future of trauma care. [19]

References

[1] Scandinavian Journal of Trauma, Resuscitation and Emergency Medicine. The role of point-of-care ultrasound during trauma resuscitation: a prospective study. Available at: https://sjtrem.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s13049-025-01447-7

[2] PubMed Central. Point-of-care ultrasound for diagnosis and management of cardiac arrest. Available at: https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10808079/

[3] Scandinavian Journal of Trauma, Resuscitation and Emergency Medicine. Point-of-care ultrasound in prehospital emergency care. Available at: https://sjtrem.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s13049-025-01443-x

[4] Trauma Surgery & Acute Care Open. Techniques relevant to trauma patients including FAST and eFAST. Available at: https://tsaco.bmj.com/content/10/Suppl_1/e001779

[5] PubMed Central. Diagnostic accuracy and clinical utility of point-of-care ultrasound. Available at: https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10790072/

[6] ScienceDirect. Impact of POCUS on time to diagnosis and treatment in trauma. Available at: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S095362052500233X

[7] Point of Care Ultrasound Journal. Role of POCUS in trauma resuscitations. Available at: https://pocusjournal.com/article/16690/

[8] PubMed. Clinical outcomes of POCUS-guided resuscitation. Available at: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/39298556/

[9] PubMed Central. Advances and applications of POCUS in trauma care. Available at: https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC8771171/

[10] The Ultrasound Journal. Operator dependency and training in POCUS. Available at: https://theultrasoundjournal.springeropen.com/articles/10.1186/s13089-021-00248-0

[11] Clinical and Experimental Emergency Medicine Journal. POCUS in emergency care. Available at: https://www.ceemjournal.org/m/journal/view.php?number=515

[12] Critical Care and Emergency Medicine Journal. Impact of POCUS on diagnostic certainty in trauma. Available at: https://journals.lww.com/ccejournal/fulltext/2019/06000/impact_of_point_of_care_ultrasound_in_the.1.aspx

[13] Scandinavian Journal of Trauma, Resuscitation and Emergency Medicine. POCUS in trauma: review. Available at: https://sjtrem.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s13049-025-01443-x

[14] PubMed Central. Clinical utility of ultrasound in trauma resuscitation. Available at: https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC8771171/

[15] The Ultrasound Journal. Technological advancements in POCUS. Available at: https://theultrasoundjournal.springeropen.com/articles/10.1186/s13089-021-00248-0

[16] Clinical and Experimental Emergency Medicine Journal. Training and competency in POCUS. Available at: https://www.ceemjournal.org/m/journal/view.php?number=515

[17] ScienceDirect. Prognostic value of POCUS in trauma cardiac arrest. Available at: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0300957221003270

[18] Scandinavian Journal of Trauma, Resuscitation and Emergency Medicine. POCUS during CPR: outcomes. Available at: https://sjtrem.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s13049-025-01447-7

[19] Scandinavian Journal of Trauma, Resuscitation and Emergency Medicine. Comprehensive review of POCUS in trauma. Available at: https://sjtrem.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s13049-025-01443-x